

Please tell us how satisfied or dissatisfied you were with the following:

1) How satisfied were you with the registration process?

- Very Dissatisfied
- Dissatisfied
- Satisfied (53%)**
- Very Satisfied (47%)

2) How satisfied were you with the conference materials provided?

- Very Dissatisfied
- Dissatisfied (7%)
- Satisfied (60%)**
- Very Satisfied (33%)

3) Overall, how satisfied were you with the speakers/presenters?

- Very Dissatisfied
- Dissatisfied (7%)
- Satisfied (53%)**
- Very Satisfied (40%)

4) Did you like the format of the conference presentations, *i.e., plenary session first morning, followed by concurrent sessions in afternoon and following morning?*

- Yes (87%)**
- No (13%)
- Don't Know

If you answered "No"; how would you re-structure the conference presentations?

- Better to open both mornings with plenary sessions, moving one of the second morning sessions to the first
 - Make it two full days so we could see all presentations
-

5) How many sessions did you attend during the conference?

- All x 7 responses
- Three x 2 response
- All possible
- 17
- six

6) Did you feel the length of the **1½ day conference** was too long, just about right, or too short?

- Too long
- Just about right (73%)**
- Too short (20%)
- No Response (7%)

7) Did you feel the length of **conference sessions** were too long, just about right, or too short?

- Too long (7%)
- Just about right (93%)**
- Too short

8) Overall, how satisfied were you with the conference meeting rooms?

- Very Dissatisfied
- Dissatisfied
- Satisfied (53%)**
- Very Satisfied (47%)

9) Overall, how satisfied were you with the facilities at King and Prince Resort?

- Very Dissatisfied
- Dissatisfied
- Satisfied (27%)
- Very Satisfied (73%)**

10) Overall, how satisfied were you with the **breakfast and lunch food** at the conference?

- Very Dissatisfied
- Dissatisfied
- Satisfied (33%)
- Very Satisfied (67%)**

11) Overall, how satisfied were you with the **banquet dinner** at the conference?

- Very Dissatisfied
- Dissatisfied (13%)
- Satisfied (53%)**
- Very Satisfied (47%)**

Please tell us how much you agree or disagree with the following statements.

11) The **content** of conference sessions was appropriate and informative.

- Very Dissatisfied
- Dissatisfied (13%)
- Satisfied (40%)
- Very Satisfied (47%)**

12) The conference was well organized.

- Very Dissatisfied
- Dissatisfied
- Satisfied (33%)
- Very Satisfied (67%)**

13) Conference staff was helpful and courteous.

- Very Dissatisfied
- Dissatisfied
- Satisfied (53%)**
- Very Satisfied (47%)**

14) What kinds of sessions would you like to see included at future conferences?

- Engineered wood; lean/CI; break into industry or academics
- More on innovation and process improvement
- More presentations that bridge the academic to the industrial
- Break into industry or academics
- I think the need for teamwork & cultural changes as prerequisites for use of other tools is something that needs to be reinforced
- Different types of modeling in industrial applications
- Way too slanted to lean, there are other methodologies out there
- Engineered wood; lean/CI
- Energy required in lumber drying is huge; I think there should be a session solely to the status of energy use in the critical processing segment. Not just to the energy facet but to the use and effectiveness of warp restraint in kiln drying of lumber

15) What did you like most about the conference?

- Plenary session, very good talk
- Variety of presentations
- Exchange between Europe & USA
- Venue/dinner
- Location, structure, presentations, every event
- I really enjoyed the keynote speakers
- Opportunity to network and be exposed to new, cutting edge ideas
- Discussions with department heads and interaction of industry with academics
- Gary Purcival and Aaron Howald sessions
- The wide variety of presenters and presentations; history presentation of island at lunch
- Networking
- The overall organization of the event
- The smooth incorporation of lodging, meals and coffee breaks is an effective, productive and enjoyable fashion.

16) What did you like least about the conference?

- It is over
- Wish there would be more on global research efforts
- Seemed a bit rushed
- Lack of industry (mill personnel/management) at the conference
- Martin Riegler session
- Repetitive material on six sigma
- Poor variety of topics, some repetitive topics
- Length – too short
- Due to my professional background and specific research focus; I felt an over emphasis on lean mfg. and statistical applications

17) Do you plan to attend this conference again in Austria in 2014?

- Yes (27%)
- No (13%)
- Don't Know (60%)**

18) Would you recommend this conference to others?

- Yes (80%)**
- No
- Don't Know (20%)

19) How would you rate this conference compared to other conferences of this type that you have attended?

- Very poor
- Poor
- Average (33%)
- Very good (27%)
- Excellent (40%)**

20) In what ways could this conference be improved?

- Was very good for me; thanks for everything; include full papers
- I wish there was more time warnings on your presentation. The monitor should tell you how long you have when you reach 5 minutes and when you reach 1 minute
- Insist that speakers talk louder or use mic; some presentations didn't communicate their presentations
- The conference seemed very education based; it would be nice to see more industrial application presentations on modeling and real time predictions
- More variety of speakers; do two full days instead of 1 ½; panel discussions among industry; poster student competitions; industry tour
- It was very good; would like to see it be a little longer so we could attend every session
- Electrical distribution and transmission lines are a critical part of energy use in both developed and under-developed countries. I suggest a session focused on how to retain/enhance the role of wood in this area. I find the increasing use of concrete, steel, and fiberglass a detriment to carbon sequestration, energy required in manufacture, climatology, etc., vs. wood; why not more use of renewable wood roles?